	urce Management: STITUTIONS AND		
	ITUTIONAL DESIGN		
	Erling Berge A survey of theories		
	NTNU, Trondheim Fall 2006		
Fall 2006	© Erling Berge 2006	1	
	Literature		
	ard 1995 " <i>Institutions and</i> ", London, Sage,		
 Luhmann, N. 1 Routledge and 	1985, A sociological theory of law, Kegan Paul, London,		
Also see: Ingram, Paul, and Karen Clay 2000 "The Choice-within-constraints new institutionalism and implications for sociology", Annual Review of Sociology, Vol.26:525-46 Peters, B Guy 2005 Institutional Theory in Political Science. The new "institutionalism", Continuum, New York			
TOIK			
Fall 2006	© Erling Berge 2006	2	
Introductio	on		
• What is an ir	actitution?		
• Previous the			
• Contemporary theory			
- "Choice-within-constraints" perspective			
Cognitive-constructionist perspectiveInstitutional change			
- Origin			
 Maintenanc 	e and reproduction		
Fall 2006	© Erling Berge 2006	3	

Defining Institutions		
Institutions comprise		
- a substantive area of operation (field)		
- a system of legitimate rules		
 a group of persons with legitimate interest in the interpretation and application of the rules 		
 a group of actors pursuing their goals within the 		
substantive area constrained by the system of rule	es	
Fall 2006 © Erling Berge 2006	4	
Tall 2000 © Elling Berge 2000	•	
Previous theories		
• 1880-1950		
- Economics (Schmoller, Veblen, Commons,		
Schumpeter, Galbraith, Myrdal)> • Overtaken by neo-classical micro-economics		
- Political science (most, but Burgess, Wilson,		
Willoughby)> • Overtaken by behavioralism		
 Sociology (most, but Marx, Durkheim, Weber, Cooley, Meade, Hughes, Mannheim, Parsons, 		
Schutz, etc)>		
Dominated by conflict and class theory		
Fall 2006 © Erling Berge 2006	5	
NI - a impatianti an al alega ma		
Neo-institutional theory		
• 1950>		
 Economics (Coase 1937, 1960, Williamson 1975, North& Thomas 1973, North 1990) 		
 Political science (March& Olsen 1984, 1989, Skocpol 1985, 1992, Buchanan& Tullock 1962, Shepsle& Weingast 1987 		
- Sociology (Goffmann, 1961, Schutz 1962,		
Berger& Luckmann 1967, Silvermann 1971,		
Meyer& Rowan 1977, Zucker 1977, DiMaggio& Powell 1983, Hechter 1987, Coleman 1990)		
Fall 2006 © Erling Berge 2006	6	

Contemporary theory

- Cognitive
 - Constructivist, institutional facts
 - Thomas theorem
- Normative
 - Encoding shared values, shaping roles
- Regulative
 - Coordination, collective action, affecting cost/benefit calculations

Fall 2006

© Erling Berge 2006

Three pillars of institutions (Scott 1995:35)

	Regulative	Normative	Cognitive
Basis of compliance	Expedience	Social obligation	Taken for granted
Mechanisms of compliance	Coercive	Normative	Mimetic
Logic	Instrument- ality	Appropriate- ness	Orthodoxy
Indicators	Rules, laws, sanctions	Certification accreditation	Prevalence, isomorphism
Basis of legitimacy	Legally sanctioned	Morally governed	Cultural support, common knowledge
E-II 2000		D 200C	

© Erling Berge 2006

Institutional carriers (Scott 1995:52)

	Pillar		
Carrier	Regulative	Normative	Cognitive
Cultures	Conventions, Rules, Laws	Shared values, expectations	Categories, distinctions, typifications
Social structures	Governance, power structures	Regimes, authority structures	Identities, structural isomorphism
Routines	Protocols, standard procedures	Conformity, performance of duty	Scripts, performance programs

© Erling Berge 2006

Institutions:	
where do they come from?	
Creating and changing: politics	
– Dynamics	
Regulative -collective action problems	
Normative - encoding values Counities defining (thought) worlds	
Cognitive - defining (thought) worlds Statics	
Regulative - variable governance (market vs hierarchy)	
• Normative - uncertainty in markets (fairness)	
Cognitive - standardization, belief systems	
Fall 2006 © Erling Berge 2006 10	
Institutions:	
how do they persist?	
• Inertia is no explanation	
Maintenance and reproduction	
 Power and interests 	
 Knowledge systems and shared norms 	
- Environmental change	
Network constraintsFraming effects	
Diffusion (legitimacy, expert knowledge)	
– Imitation, adoption	
Fall 2006 © Erling Berge 2006 11	
Institutions:	
shaping systems & fields	
• The state	
- Property rights, enforcement systems	
• The professions	
- Cognitive and normative environments (the	
Thomas theorem at group level)	
Shaping policy	
- Economic, industrial, market, environment	
Fall 2006 © Erling Berge 2006 12	

	Institutions:		
	fields & populations		
 Creating fields boundaries, gov 	vernance, structuration		
Forming popula			
 Densities & leg 	ritimacy		
	egulation, mandating		
- Legitimacy (co	gnitive, normative, regulative)		
Fall 2006	© Erling Berge 2006	13	
Organizations	: structure & performance	;	
• 'Imprinting' at e	stablishment		
- Culture, roles, l	beliefs, legitimacy		
	ponses to pressures bying, compliance mechanisms)		
 Individual (acq 	uiescing, compromising, avoiding	ξ,	
defying, and ma			
Of similar si			
 Having succ 	ess		
Fall 2006	© Erling Berge 2006	14	
S	Summarizing		
	ons and organisations		
• What is the diffe	erence?		
	institutions and organisation	S	
Level of analysis	is		
Fall 2006	© Erling Berge 2006	15	
2000	© E.mig Deige 2000	.5	

Organizations		
Organisations		
ActorsGoals - preferences - utility		
• The relation between actor and goal		
Action and action environment		
- governance		
– rights and duties		
processesexternalities		
Fall 2006 © Erling Berge 2006	16	
v v		
W/L		
Who are entitled to appropriate?		
Types of actors		
• INDIVIDUALS		
• COLLECTIVES/ ORGANISATIONS		
• the firm		
• the association		
• the community		
• STATES		
Fall 2006 © Erling Berge 2006	17	
Types of institutions		
(Ingram & Clay 2000:531-539)		
Replacing formal – informal:		
• Private decentralised (persons): norms, belief world views	s,	
• Private centralised (collectives): bylaws		
• Public decentralised (cultures): ?? "the web"		
• Public centralised (states): laws		
Fall 2006 © Erling Berge 2006	18	
· 3g		

Problem areas for the theory	
•	
• Rationality - bounded or?	-
 Opportunism - trust Credible commitment - contract enforcement	
Transaction costs	
Preferences - from where do they come?, and	
to what do they apply?	
• Public decentralised: e.g. cognitive institutions?	
Fall 2006 © Erling Berge 2006 19	
Scott(1995:33) defines institutions as	
consisting of cognitive, normative, and	
regulative structures and activities that provide stability and meaning to social behaviour.	
Institutions are transported by various carriers	
- cultures, structures, and routines - and they	
operate at multiple levels of jurisdictions	
Fall 2006 © Erling Berge 2006 20	
Law and institutions	
A cincular definition of institution than the	
 A simpler definition of institutions than the one provided by Scott is "Institutions are the rules of 	
the game in a society (North 1990:3)	-
The most visible part of these rules are the laws enacted by a legitimate body representing the	
common interests of the people. But laws, formal rules, stand on a foundation of taken for granted	
rules. The informal institutions of the society.	
 For many purposes formal and informal rules can be discussed together simply as rules. But 	
first a brief look at law	
Fall 2006 © Erling Berge 2006 21	

Law: A sociological theory (Luhmann 1972))	
Is difficult because Complexity of law Specialised technical language of law Affects all aspects of society Will often be found to be studies of lawyers, judicial bodies, opinions of law —each in its own way limited by the complexity of law Needs a general approach like the sociology of knowledge Complexity of law is the key Small or large Unstructured or structured Fall 2006 Erling Berge 2006		
Law: Classical beginnings		
"Natural law" and "social contract" – presseriel gripple appearts		
presociological concepts • Sociology "No permetivo invariants"		
 Sociology "No normative invariants" Law is contingent. The moral foundation of 		
law becomes an empirical question		
The perspective becomes evolutionary		
Law as normative structure – empirical reality		
 Law and society as interdependent (co-evolving) Empircal studies of the co-evolution 		
·	23	
Law: Classical studies I		
Marx		
 Evolutionary force: changes in productive forces and relations of production 	I	
 Law grants and protects bundles of rights of 		
enjoymen of results of production, rights to decide or resource allocations, and devolution of rights to	า	
successors • Sumner Maine		
- Evolution: from status In taditional society to contract	t	
in modern society - Contracts create local, time limited rules for small		
"games"		
Fall 2006 © Erling Berge 2006	24	

Law: Classical studies II	
-	
 Durkheim Evolutionary force is the division of labour taking society from segmented to functional 	
differentiation	
 Emphasises the non-contractual bases of contracts, law still expresses the "solidarity" of a society, from mechanic to organic solidarity 	
 - Law changes intention of sanctions from repressive to restitutive 	
Fall 2006 © Erling Berge 2006 25	
Lavy Olassical studies III	
Law: Classical studies III	-
Weber Evolutionary force: the rationalisation of the world and creation of a capitalist economy	
Law must become independent in its formulation of normative structures to be able to regulate precisely specific functions to support the individually rational calculation of action	
Parsons on Durkheim and Weber Durkheim: insisting on the objective existence of social norms	
Weber: law and norms as limits on the contingent individually decided meaningful actions Parsons: multiple actors with independent decisions of	
meaningful action need integration of mutual expectations of behaviour by lasting learnable and internalisable norms The contingency and coordination problems are not resolved by Parsons	
Fall 2006 © Erling Berge 2006 26	
Law: Concluding	
 Positivity of law not yet recognised as fundamental: Law can be changed, designed to 	
achieve particular objectives posing the relationship between law and society in a new	
light • Luhmann concluded in 1972. Today the	
problems of understanding the design of law are	
well established with various approached (rationalist, functionalist, conflict, moral	
entrepreneurs) Fall 2006 © Erling Berge 2006 27	

Rı	ule systems		
Rules are based	on values		
Cultural, social,			
• Rules are based	_		
Institutional facRules are based	on needs for coordination		
 Solving social d 	lilemmas		
the form of a wo	owledge and values: usually orld view shaping their acts and interpretation of rule		
Fall 2006	© Erling Berge 2006	28	
Rule	e enforcement		
Monitoring and	enforcement		
_	nforcement ('victim')		
 Third party enfo 	orcement ('state')		
Conflict resoluti	on mechanisms		
Arbitration			
– Courts			
Fall 2006	© Erling Berge 2006	29	
			
Public cen	tralized institutions		
	nange (helping subjects to		
make credible co • State commitme			
State commitme subsidization of			
• State commitme	nt to abstain from		
"expropriation"			
• Regulation of di	suidunonai issues		
Fall 2006	© Erling Berge 2006	30	
	• •		

Public dec	entralized institutions		
LanguageCulture			
• Internationa	l law		
Fall 2006	© Erling Berge 2006	31	
Private ce	ntralized institutions		
	perty rights cattlemen's associations		
CommonsGoverning transactions			
 Law merchant Certification so 	chemes		
OrganisationsFirms			
Fall 2006	© Erling Berge 2006	32	
Private dec	centralized institutions		
 Operates by Informal conflict resolution Reputation and group pressures Ostracism 			
	or other institutions , legitimacy , cognitive		
Fall 2006	© Erling Berge 2006	33	

Sources of va	ariation in institution		
• Governance (m	narket vs hierarchy)		
• Incentives (rig	•		
• Processes (by types of goods)			
• Transaction co	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
• Externalities	515		
• Externancies			
Fall 2006	© Erling Berge 2006	34	
la attra	diament also assesses		
Institu	tional change		
Because accide	ents happen (historical		
conjunctures)	onto nappon (motorioai		
	evolve according to an		
internal dynam	c (path dependence)		
Because of intentional activities aimed at			
changing them	(politics)		
Fall 2006	© Erling Berge 2006	35	
, ,	Summary		
	•		
• Fields			
RulesBureaucracies			
 Organisations / a	ctors		
Organisations / t	2010		
• Embeddedness			
• Path dependence			
Fall 2006	© Erling Berge 2006	36	

Ref.:	Fall 2004
http://www.sv.ntnu.no/iss/Frling.Rerge/	

Concluding	
o on oracing	
Institutions comprise	
 A <u>substantive area</u> of operation 	
 A system of <u>legitimate</u> rules 	
 A group of <u>enforcers</u> (persons) with legitimate interest in the interpretation and application of the rules 	t
 A group of <u>actors</u> pursuing their goals within the substantive area constrained by the system of rules 	
An institution is in principle of relevance for all members of the social system	
Fall 2006 © Erling Berge 2006 3	7